arXiv:1412.3720v1 [math.AG] 11 Dec 2014

NOTE ON MACPHERSON'S LOCAL EULER OBSTRUCTION

YUNFENG JIANG

Abstract. This is a note on MacPherson's local Euler obstruction, which plays an important role recently in Donaldson-Thomas theory by the work of Behrend.

We introduce MacPherson's original definition, and prove that it is equivalent to the algebraic definition used by Behrend, following the method of Gonzalez-Sprinberg. We also give a formula of Euler obstruction in terms of Lagrangian intersection. As an application, we consider a scheme or DM stack X admitting a symmetric obstruction theory. Furthermore we assume that there is a $\mathbb{C}^*$ action on X, which makes the obstruction theory $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant. The $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on the obstruction theory naturally gives rise to a cosection map in the sense of Kiem-Li. We prove that Behrend's weighted Euler characteristic is the same as Kiem-Li localized invariant of X by the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action.

1. Introduction

1.1. **Local Euler obstruction.** Local Euler obstruction of a scheme or a variety was originally introduced by MacPherson [14] to study Chern class of singular algebraic varieties. The definition of Euler obstruction is given by topological and geometrical method in Section 3 of [14], by using Nash blow-up. In [16], Gonzalez-Sprinberg proves an algebraic formula using Segre class of normal cone of a closed subscheme inside a scheme.

Let Z be a prime cycle in a scheme or DM stack X, the local Euler obstruction $\mathrm{Eu}(Z)$ is a constructible function on X, and they form a basis in the group $F(X)$ of constructible functions. Furthermore, MacPherson proves that there is a unique transformation functor from the functor of constructible functions to the functor of homology groups satisfying the pushforward property, such that the homology class of the constant function $\mathbb{1}_X$ for a smooth scheme X is $c(X) \cap [X]$, the cap product of Chern class of X with the fundamental class.

A global characteristic class for the local Euler obstruction $\mathrm{Eu}(Z)$ is the Chern-Mather class $c^M(Z)$ also introduced in Section 2 of [14]. This class is the pushforward $\nu_*(c(TZ) \cap [\widehat{Z}]) \in A_*(Z)$ where $\nu : \widehat{Z} \to Z$ is the Nash blow-up and TZ is the Nash tangent bundle. Global index theorem of MacPherson says that

$$\int_X c^M(Z) = \chi(X, \mathrm{Eu}(Z)),$$

if the scheme X is proper, where $\chi(X, \mathrm{Eu}(Z))$ is the weighted Euler characteristic weighted by the constructible function $\mathrm{Eu}(Z)$. In the case that $Z = X$ and X is smooth, Chern-Mather class $c^M(Z)$ is just the Chern class and $\chi(X, \mathrm{Eu}(Z))$ is just the topological Euler characteristic of X. This is the Gauss-Bonnet theorem.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14N35; Secondary 14A20.

In this paper we recall MacPherson's definition using topological method, and we prove that it is equivalent to the algebraic formula in the Theoreme of [16] following the arguments of Gonzalez-Sprinberg. Note that [16] was written in French, and the translation proof maybe helpful for English readers.

1.2. **Donaldson-Thomas theory.** The local Euler obstruction recently becomes very important in the study of Donaldson-Thomas theory by the work of Behrend [2]. In [2], Behrend defines a canonical cycle $\mathfrak{c}_X \in \mathcal{Z}_*(X)$ for a scheme or DM stack X, which Behrend calls the sign support of the intrinsic normal cone $\mathbf{c}_X$ in [3]. The Euler obstruction $\nu_X := \mathrm{Eu}(\mathfrak{c}_X)$ of this cycle is call "Behrend function" of X. The weighted Euler characteristic $\chi(X, \nu_X)$ is well defined.

If the scheme or DM stack X admits a symmetric obstruction theory E_X introduced in [2], then the virtual fundamental class $[X]^{\mathrm{vir}} \in A_0(X)$ is zero dimensional. In the case that X is proper, the virtual count (Donaldson-Thomas type invariant) $\#^{\mathrm{vir}}(X)$ is defined as

$$\int_{[X]^{\mathrm{vir}}} 1.$$

Behrend proves that it is the same as weighted Euler characteristic $\chi(X, \nu_X)$. The Donaldson-Thomas moduli space of stable coherent sheaves on Calabi-Yau threefold admits a symmetric obstruction theory, and the Donaldson-Thomas invariant [17] is the weighted Euler characteristic weighted by Behrend function of the moduli space.

If a moduli space X is not proper so that the integration does not makes sense, the weighted Euler characteristic $\chi(X, \nu_X)$ is defined as Donaldson-Thomas invariant. Examples include an amount of moduli spaces of quiver representations with potentials.

1.3. **Lagrangian intersection.** We assume X is a quasi-smooth DM stack and let $X \hookrightarrow M$ be an embedding into a smooth DM stack M. Then the symmetric obstruction theory E_X on X induces a cone C inside $\Omega_M|_X$, which Behrend calls the obstruction cone. The key observation of [2] (suggested by R. Thomas) is that the obstruction cone C is a Lagrangian cone inside Ω_M. The obstruction cone C, étale locally on a chart of X, is given by the normal cone of a closed immersion.

There is an isomorphism between the group of integral cycles $\mathcal{Z}_*(X)$ of X to the group $\mathfrak{L}_X(\Omega_M)$ of conic Lagrangian cycles inside Ω_M supported on X. The Lagrangian cone C is a linear combination of conic Lagrangian cycles of the form $N^*_{Z/M}$, where Z is a closed substack of X and $N^*_{Z/M}$ is the closure inside Ω_M of the conormal bundle of smooth locus of Z. Note that $N^*_{Z/M}$ gives all the irreducible components of the cone C, and its image under the morphism $\pi : C \to X$ gives the integral cycle $\mathfrak{c}_X$. Ginzburg's theory [9] tells us that the Lagrangian intersection $I(N^*_{Z/M}, [M])$ of $N^*_{Z/M}$ with the zero section is the weighted Euler characteristic $\chi(X, \mathrm{Eu}(Z))$ of the Euler obstruction $\mathrm{Eu}(Z)$ uo to a sign when Z is proper. On the other hand, the Lagrangian intersection also gives the degree zero Chern-Mather class of Z. So the Lagrangian intersection $I(C, [M])$ gives the weighted Euler characteristic $\chi(X, \nu_X)$, hence the Donaldson-Thomas invariant $\#^{\mathrm{vir}}(X)$.

The Lagrangian intersection $I(C, [M])$ can be explained using another (real) analytic section of Ω_M as studied in Theorem 9.5.3 and Theorem 9.7.11 of [11], and the Appendix in [7]. By fixing a Hermitian metric on Ω_M, we may choose a small

perturbation Γ of the zero section of Ω_M. Then $I(C,[M]) = I(C,\Gamma)$, see Theorem 4.4.

1.4. **Application.** The idea of Lagrangian intersection above has applications in Donaldson-Thomas theory if the scheme (the moduli space) X admits a $\mathbb{C}^*$ action. Let $F \subset X$ be the fixed point locus of the $\mathbb{C}^*$ action. Then the weighted Euler characteristic

$$\chi(X,\nu_X) = \chi(F,\nu_X|_F),$$

where $\nu_X|_F$ is the restriction of ν_X to F. The $\mathbb{C}^*$ action on X naturally gives rise to a cosection morphism $\sigma : \Omega_X \to \mathcal{O}_X$ in the sense of [7] such that the degenerate locus is exactly the fixed point locus F. The virtual dimension of X with symmetric obstruction theory E_X has dimension zero. Hence the Kiem-Li localized virtual cycle $[F]^{\mathrm{vir}}_{\mathrm{loc,KL}} \in A_0(F)$ is a dimension zero class. We prove that the Kiem-Li localized invariant

$$\int_{[F]^{\mathrm{vir}}_{\mathrm{loc,KL}}} 1 = \chi(F,\nu_X|_F),$$

see Theorem 5.20. We should remark that in the case that X is proper, this is a direction application of Theorem 4.18 of Behrend [2] and Theorem 1.1 of Kiem-Li [7]. So the key point of this paper is to prove Theorem 5.20 in the case X is nonproper. Since one can do $\mathbb{C}^*$ localization on the Kiem-Li localized virtual cycle, it is hoped that Kiem-Li calculation is easier than the calculation of Behrend function. If the $\mathbb{C}^*$ action only has isolated fixed points, we recover Theorem 3.4 of Behrend and Fantechi [4]. We use a similar method as in Section 4 of [6] to prove the above formula.

This note is organized as follows. Section 2 introduces MacPherson's original definition of local Euler obstruction. In Section 3 we prove the algebraic formula of local Euler obstruction following the method in [16]. In Section 4 the global index theorem and Lagrangian intersection are discussed, and in Section 5 we give the application of Euler obstruction and Lagrangian intersection to Behrend theory and Kiem-Li cosection localization.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank Professors Kai Behrend, Jun Li and Richard Thomas for valuable discussions. The last section of this paper was motivated by a discussion with Kai Behrend when the author was visiting UBC in November 2012, a discussion with Jun Li when the author was visiting Stanford in December 2012, and the work with Richard Thomas about virtual signed Euler characteristics. He thanks Jim Bryan, Kai Behrend (UBC), and Jun Li (Stanford) for hospitality. This work is partially supported by NFGRF, University of Kansas, and a Simons Foundation Collaboration Grant 311837.

2. Local Euler obstruction of MacPherson

2.1. **Nash blow-up.** Let Z be a d-dimensional scheme or DM stack, which is embedded into a smooth scheme or DM stack A. Let $\mathrm{Gr}_d(TA)$ be the Grassmannian bundle of d-dimensional subspaces of the bundle TA over A. There is a section

$$\begin{aligned} s: \quad Z^\circ \quad &\to \quad \mathrm{Gr}_d(TA) \\ z \quad &\mapsto \quad T_z(Z^\circ) \end{aligned}$$

of smooth locus $Z^\circ \subset Z$ into the Grassmannian bundle, where $T_z(Z^\circ)$ is the tangent space of Z° at the smooth point z. Let $\widehat{Z}$ be the closure of the image s inside the Grassmannian bundle. Denote by

$$\nu : \widehat{Z} \to Z$$

the map given by the restriction of the projection of $\mathrm{Gr}_d(TA)$. There is a vector bundle TZ over $\widehat{Z}$, which is the restriction of the tautological bundle over the Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}_d(TA)$. The map $\nu : \widehat{Z} \to Z$ is called the **Nash blow-up** of Z.

Remark 2.1. The construction of Nash blow-up of Z is independent to the embedding.

2.2. **Local Euler onstruction.** We give MacPherson's original local Euler obstruction for a scheme or DM stack Z. Let $Z \hookrightarrow A$ be an embedding.

Let $P \in Z$. We choose a local coordinates $(z_1, \cdots, z_n)$ of A at the point P, such that $z_i(P) = 0$. Let $\|z\|^2 = \sqrt{z_1\overline{z}_1 + \cdots + z_1\overline{z}_n}$. Then $\|z\|^2$ is a real-valued function, and the differential $d\|z\|^2$ may be considered as a section of T^*A, where T^*A is the cotangent bundle of A but viewed as a real vector bundle. The section $d\|z\|^2$ pulls back and restricts to a section r of T^*Z, which is the dual of TZ.

Remark 2.2. Here we use T^*A to represent the real cotangent bundle of A. Later on in Section 5 we use Ω_A as the cotangent bundle of a scheme or DM stack A.

Lemma 2.3. *For small enough $\epsilon > 0$, the section r is nonzero over $\nu^{-1}(z)$ where $0 < \|z\| \leq \epsilon$.*

Proof. Let $\widehat{Z}_P = \nu^{-1}(P)$ be the fibre over P, and K be the set of zeros of r. Then $\widehat{Z}_P \subset K$. Suppose by contradiction there exists $x \in \widehat{Z}_P \cap \overline{K - \widehat{Z}_P}$, where $\overline{K - \widehat{Z}_P}$ (closure of $K - \widehat{Z}_P$) is real sub-analytic. So by Bruhat-Whitney Lemma, there exists an analytic curve $\widetilde{C} : [0, t] \to \overline{K - \widehat{Z}_P}$ such that $\widetilde{C}(0) = x$ and $\widetilde{C}((0, t]) \subset K - \widehat{Z}_P$.

Projecting on Z, an analytic curve C passing through P is obtained. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a Whitney stratification of Z. For $t \neq 0$ sufficiently small, $C((0, t])$ is fully contained in a stratum S. Let $P' \neq P$ be a point on the curve C. Then the right secant PP' is orthogonal to the limit tangent space $T_{P'}$ at P' (i.e. a point of $\widehat{Z}_{P'} \cap \widetilde{C}$ which projects to P'). However from Condition A of Whitney, $T_{P'}$ contains the tangent space to the stratum at P', that is , it contains the right tangent space to C at P'. So PP' is orthogonal to the tangent to C at P' for all $P' \in C$, and $P' \neq P$, which is impossible. □

Let B_ϵ be the ϵ-ball $\{z | \|z\| \leq \epsilon\}$ and S_ϵ be the ϵ-sphere $\{z | \|z\| = \epsilon\}$. The obstruction to extending r as a nonzero section of T^*Z from $\nu^{-1}S_\epsilon$ to $\nu^{-1}B_\epsilon$, which MacPherson denoted it by $\mathrm{Eu}(T^*Z, r)$, lies in $H^{2d}(\nu^{-1}B_\epsilon, \nu^{-1}S_\epsilon; \mathbb{Z})$. Let $\mathcal{O} \in H_{2d}(\nu^{-1}B_\epsilon, \nu^{-1}S_\epsilon; \mathbb{Z})$ be the orientation class.

Definition 2.4. *The local Euler obstruction of Z at P is defined as:*

$$\mathrm{Eu}(Z)(P) = \langle \mathrm{Eu}(T^*Z, r), \mathcal{O} \rangle.$$

The local Euler obstruction has the following properties, see Section 3 in [14]:

(1) $\mathrm{Eu}(Z)(P) = 1$ if Z is nonsingular at P;
(2) If Z is a curve, then $\mathrm{Eu}(Z)(P)$ is the multiplicity of Z at P. If Z is the cone on a nonsingular plane curve of degree d and P is the vertex, $\mathrm{Eu}(Z)(P) = 2d - d^2$;

(3) $\mathrm{Eu}(Z_1 \times Z_2)(P_1 \times P_2) = \mathrm{Eu}(Z_1)(P_1) \cdot \mathrm{Eu}(Z_2)(P_2)$;
(4) If we have a scheme Z or DM stack which is reducible at the point P, and let Z_i are the irreducible components, then $\mathrm{Eu}(Z)(P) = \sum \mathrm{Eu}(Z_i)(P)$.

The local Euler obstruction $\mathrm{Eu}(Z)$ is a constructible function, which was proved in algebraic sense by Kennedy in Lemma 4 of [10]. Let $F(Z)$ be the group of constructible functions with integer values if Z is a scheme and rational values if Z is a DM stack. Then there is a mapping

$$T : \mathcal{Z}_*(Z) \to F(Z) \tag{2.1}$$

given by:

$$\sum a_i Z_i \mapsto \sum a_i \,\mathrm{Eu}(Z_i).$$

MacPherson proved that T is an isomorphism of abelian groups in Lemma 2 of [14]. The proof is true for DM stacks by taking $\mathbb{Q}$ coefficients for the constructible function.

3. Algebraic formula of local Euler obstruction

3.1. **Algebraic formula.** In this section we give the algebraic explanation of local Euler obstruction. We mainly follow the proof of Gonzalez-Sprinberg [16].

Let Z be a prime cycle in a DM stack X. Recall $\nu : \widehat{Z} \to Z$ is the Nash blow-up of Z.

Theorem 3.1. *We have:*

$$\mathrm{Eu}(Z)(P) = \int_{\nu^{-1}(P)} c(TZ) \cap s(\nu^{-1}(P), \widehat{Z}),$$

where $s(\nu^{-1}(P), \widehat{Z})$ is the Segre class of the normal cone of $\nu^{-1}(P)$ in $\widehat{Z}$.

Remark 3.2. This formula is used by Behrend [2] in Section 1.2 of [2] as the definition of local Euler obstruction.

3.2. **Proof of Theorem 3.1.** The local Euler obstruction $\mathrm{Eu}(Z)(P)$ is local, then we may suppose that $Z \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ and take P to be the origin. The Nash tangent bundle is TZ and $\widehat{Z}_0 = \nu^{-1}(0)$ is the fibre over $0 \in Z \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \widehat{Z}_0 & \longrightarrow & \widehat{Z} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Gr}_d(T\mathbb{C}^n) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \nu} & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & Z & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}^n \end{array} \tag{3.1}$$

Step 1: Let $T\mathbb{C}^n = \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$ be the trivial tangent bundle over $\mathbb{C}^n$, and let $E := \nu^{-1}(T\mathbb{C}^n|_Z)$ be the pullback by ν to $\widehat{Z}$. Then we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} TZ & \longrightarrow & E \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \\ & & \widehat{Z} \end{array}$$

where the Nash tangent bundle TZ can be taken as a subbundle of E. There is a canonical section $\mathbb{C}^n \to T\mathbb{C}^n$, by $P \mapsto \overrightarrow{OP}$ (the vector of P), then through the map ν, we have an induced section ρ of E over $\widehat{Z}$. By choosing a Hermitian metric on E

(denoted it by a Hermitian form s), then we can project ρ to TZ and get a section σ_s of TZ over $\widehat{Z}$. The Hermitian form s induces an isomorphism $TZ \cong T^*Z$, which transforms the section σ_s to r we defined before. Hence $\mathrm{Eu}(T^*Z, r) \cong \mathrm{Eu}(TZ, \sigma_s)$.

Remark 3.3. Note that the orientation of T^*Z is the one from the identification between complex cotangent bundle and real cotangent bundle, and the orientation of TZ is the one coming from the complex structure.

Step 2: Let $\epsilon > 0$ be sufficiently small, such that σ_s does not vanish on $\nu^{-1}S_\epsilon$. Set

$$V = \nu^{-1}B_\epsilon, \quad \partial V = \nu^{-1}S_\epsilon.$$

In order to calculate $\mathrm{Eu}(TZ, \sigma_s)$, we consider the class ω of universal obstruction of TZ, determined by the canonical section of p_{TZ}^*TZ, which is

$$v \mapsto (v, v),$$

where $v \in TZ$, and $p_{TZ} : TZ \to \widehat{Z}$ is the projection. The class

$$\omega \in H^{2d}(TZ, TZ - \widehat{Z}) = H^{2d}_{\widehat{Z}}(TZ)$$

after identifying $\widehat{Z}$ as the zero section of TZ, and then it restricts to a class in $H^{2d}_V(TZ|_V)$, still denoted by ω.

The section σ_s of TZ induces:

$$(V, V - \widehat{Z}_0) \to (TZ|_V, TZ|_V - V),$$

and induces:

$$\sigma_s^* : H^{2d}_V(TZ|_V) \to H^{2d}_{\widehat{Z}_0}(V).$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be small enough so that V is a neighbourhood of $\widehat{Z}_0$ and $V - \widehat{Z}_0$ retracts to ∂V. So

$$H^*_{\widehat{Z}_0}(V) = H^*(V, V - \widehat{Z}_0) \cong H^*(V, \partial V).$$

Then

$$\sigma_s^*(\omega) \in H^{2d}_{\widehat{Z}_0}(V) \cong H^{2d}(V, \partial V)$$

is the class $\mathrm{Eu}(TZ, \sigma_s)$ by universal property of ω. So we have $H^{2d}_{\widehat{Z}_0}(V) \cong H^{2d}_{\widehat{Z}_0}(\widehat{Z})$, and $\sigma_s^*(\omega)$ can be considered in $H^{2d}_{\widehat{Z}_0}(\widehat{Z})$. So

$$\mathrm{Eu}(Z)(0) = \deg(\sigma_s^*(\omega) \cap [V, \partial V]) = \deg(\sigma_s^*(\omega) \cap [\widehat{Z}]). \tag{3.2}$$

Step 3: The next step is to construct a scheme or variety associated with $\widehat{Z}$ such that we have an algebraic section of TZ on it, since σ_s is analytic. Our method is to split the bundle E as the sum of TZ and its complement without the aid of Hermitian form, and do it algebraically. More precisely, let us consider the exact sequence of vector bundles on $\widehat{Z}$:

$$0 \to TZ \longrightarrow E \xrightarrow{j} Q \to 0,$$

where $Q = E/TZ$, and $\mathrm{rk}(TZ) = d$, $\mathrm{rk}(E) = n$.

Let $\mathrm{Gr}_{n-d}(E)$ be the Grassmannian bundle over $\widehat{Z}$, whose fibre over $x \in \widehat{Z}$ is the space of $(n-d)$-dimensional subspaces in E_x. Consider the open subset $U \subset \mathrm{Gr}_{n-d}(E)$, whose fibre over $x \in \widehat{Z}$ is the complement of $TZ|_x$ of E_x, i.e. a subspace $a : Q \subset E$ such that $j \circ a = id_Q$. Let $p : U \to \widehat{Z}$ be the projection, whose fibre over $x \in \widehat{Z}$ is an affine space of dimension $d(n-d)$. This U is a principal

homogeneous space. On U consider the pullback bundle p^*E, its section ρ and σ_s of $TU = p^*TZ$ (we use the same notations).

Let S be the complement of TU, obtained by restriction on U of the tautological fibre of the Grassmannian. Let σ (reap. η) be the projections of ρ on TU (reap. S). Then

$$E = TU \oplus S,$$

and

$$\rho = \sigma + \eta.$$

Let $U_0 = p^{-1}(\widehat{Z}_0)$. Then we have the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_0 & \longrightarrow & U \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \widehat{Z}_0 & \longrightarrow & \widehat{Z} \end{array}$$

Proposition 3.4. *Let $u \in U_0$, and $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small. Then there exists a neighbourhood W of u in U such that for all $w \in W$,*

$$\|\eta(w)\| < \epsilon \|\sigma(w)\|.$$

Proof. A point $u \in U_0$ represents a point in $\widehat{Z}_0$, i.e. a limit tangent space T at the origin, and an additional space S in E. Fix a Hermitian form s, then it gives the decomposition of E, i.e. $S = T^{-1}$. Then s induces a section of U passing through u. It suffices to prove the proposition on this section, because U is a principal homogeneous space, and we can identify $\widehat{Z}$ with this section. So we shall be induced to prove on $\widehat{Z}$ the following statement: Let $x \in \widehat{Z}_0$, $\epsilon > 0$, then there exists a neighbourhood $\widehat{V}$ of x in $\widehat{Z}$, such that for all $v \in \widehat{V}$, we have

$$\|\eta_s(v)\| < \epsilon \|\sigma_s(v)\| \tag{3.3}$$

where σ_s and η_s are the components of ρ determined by s.

Let $\{M_\alpha\}$ be a Whitney stratification of Z. So it suffices to show that there exists a neighbourhood $\overline{V}$ of 0 in Z such that for all α and for all $z \in M_\alpha \cap \overline{V}$, one has $\mathrm{tg}^2(oz, T_{M_\alpha,z}) < \epsilon^2$, where $T_{M_\alpha,z}$ is the tangent space of M_α at the point z. (This is because $|\mathrm{tg}(oz, T_Z)| = \frac{\|\eta_s(v)\|}{\|\sigma_s(v)\|}$.)

We prove this by contradiction. Suppose that there is an α such that for every neighbourhood $\overline{V}$ of 0 there exists $z \in M_\alpha \cap \overline{V}$ and

$$\mathrm{tg}^2(oz, T_{M_{\alpha,z}}) \geq \epsilon^2.$$

Consider all real semi-analytic sets

$$R = \{z \in M_\alpha | \mathrm{tg}^2(oz, T_{M_{\alpha,z}}) \geq \epsilon^2\}.$$

Then $0 \in \overline{R}$, the closure of R. By the Bruhat-Whitney Lemma, there is a real analytic curve

$$C : [0, t] \to \overline{R},$$

such that $C(0) = 0$, and $C((0, t]) \subset R$. So this curve would have the following property: at any point $P \neq 0$, $P \in C$, $\mathrm{tg}^2(OP, \mathrm{tg}_P) \geq \epsilon^2$. This is impossible because the tg tends to zero when $P \to 0$.

As a result we have (3.3). In fact, giving $v \in \widehat{Z}$ is equivalent to giving $z = \nu(v) \in Z$ and a limit tangent space T_z of the tangent spaces to smooth points in a neighbourhood of z. So by Whitney condition A, we have: $T_{M_\alpha,z} \subset T_z$ and

$$|\mathrm{tg}(oz, T_{M_\alpha,z})| \geq |\mathrm{tg}(oz, T_z)| = \frac{\|\eta_s(v)\|}{\|\sigma_s(v)\|}.$$

□

Corollary 3.5. *The set U_0 is open and closed in the set K of zeroes of σ.*

Proof. Indeed, U_0 is the set of zeros of ρ, and $\rho = 0$ implies $\sigma = 0$. We show U_0 is open by contradiction. Suppose there exists a sequence $\{u_i\}$ contained in $K - U_0$ which converges to $u \in U_0$. By the previous proposition, for i sufficiently large, $\sigma(u_i) = 0$ implies $\eta(u_i) = 0$. Since $\rho = \sigma + \eta$, $\rho(u_i) = 0$, that is to say, $u_i \in U_0$ for i large enough and we have a contradiction. □

Step 4: We continue the proof of the theorem. Let $K' = K - U_0$ be the union of connected components of K (the zeros of σ) disjoint to U_0. Let

$$W := U - K';$$

which is an open neighbourhood of U_0 in U, according to Corollary 3.5. Note also the restriction to W of $p : U \to \widehat{Z}$ and consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} U_0 & \longrightarrow & W_V & \longrightarrow & W & \longleftarrow & p^*TZ \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} \\ \widehat{Z}_0 & \longrightarrow & V & \longrightarrow & \widehat{Z} & \longleftarrow & TZ \end{array}$$

where $V = \nu^{-1}B_\epsilon$ and $W_V = p^{-1}(V)$. Then we have:

$$(W_V, W_V - U_0) \xrightarrow{p} (V, V - \widehat{Z}_0) \xrightarrow{\sigma} (TZ, TZ - \widehat{Z})$$

and

$$p^*\sigma_s^*(\omega) \in H^{2d}_{U_0}(W_V) \cong H^{2d}_{U_0}(W).$$

So:

$$p^*(\sigma_s^*(\omega) \cap [\widehat{Z}]) = p^*\sigma_s^*\omega \cap [W] \in H_{2d(n-d)}(U_0).$$

In addition, we have morphisms of pairs of spaces:

$$(W, W - U_0) \xrightarrow{\sigma} (p^*TZ|_W, p^*TZ|_W - W) \xrightarrow{\pi} (TZ, TZ - \widehat{Z})$$

where $\sigma^*\pi^*\omega \in H^{2d}_{U_0}(W)$.

We prove that $p^*\sigma_s^*\omega = \sigma^*\pi^*\omega$. Note that $s : \widehat{Z} \to U$ is the section induced from the Hermitian form and $s|_V$ is the restriction to V. It induces the isomorphism $(s|_V)^* : H^{2d}_{U_0}(W) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^{2d}_{\widehat{Z}_0}(V)$, because we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^{2d}_{U_0}(W) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^{2d}_{\widehat{Z}_0}(U) \\ {\scriptstyle (s|_V)^*}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle s^*} \\ H^{2d}_{\widehat{Z}_0}(V) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H^{2d}_{\widehat{Z}_0}(\widehat{Z}) \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows are excisions.

In fact, $(s|_V)^*$ is the inverse of p^*, so $p^*\sigma_s^*\omega = \sigma^*\pi^*\omega$ is equivalent to $\sigma_s^*\omega = (s|_V)^*\sigma^*\pi^*\omega$. The latter is true by the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & p^*TZ \\ {\scriptstyle s|_V}\uparrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} \\ V & \xrightarrow{\sigma_s} & TZ \end{array}$$

As a consequence we have:

$$p^*\sigma_s^*\omega \cap [W] = \sigma^*\pi^*\omega \cap [W] \tag{3.4}$$

and by projection:

$$\sigma_*(\sigma^*\pi^*\omega \cap [W]) = \pi^*\omega \cap [\sigma(W)]. \tag{3.5}$$

The latter class is the product of the fundamental class of W with fundamental homology class of $\sigma(W)$, so this is the class of intersection cycle $[W \cdot_\sigma W]$ in $A_*(W \cap \sigma(W))$. So

$$\pi^*\omega \cap [\sigma(W)] = [W \cdot_\sigma W]. \tag{3.6}$$

Step 5: There is an algebraic formula to calculate the above intersection cycle due to Fulton [8]. The variety W is embedded as zero section into p^*TZ, which is locally complete intersection. The scheme or DM stack intersection $W \cap \sigma(W)$ is defined by $\sigma = 0$ in W, which we will denote it by W_0. The dimension of W is $d + d(n-d)$ and its codimension in p^*TZ is d. Look at the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} W & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & p^*TZ \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ W_0 & \longrightarrow & W \end{array}$$

Then

$$[W \cdot_\sigma W] = (c(p^*TZ|_{W_0}) \cap s(W_0, W))_{d(n-d)} \tag{3.7}$$

i.e. the component of dimension $d(n-d)$ in $A_*(W_0)$ is the cap product of the Chern class of $p^*TZ|_{W_0}$ with the Segre class of W_0 in W, see Chapter 6 in [8].

Corollary 3.6. *With the above notation, we have*

$$s(W_0, W) = s(U_0, U).$$

Proof. Let $\mathcal{I} = (\sigma_1, \cdots, \sigma_n)$ (resp. $\mathcal{J} = (\rho_1, \cdots, \rho_n)$) be the ideal of definition of W_0 (reap. U_0), where σ_i (resp. ρ_i) is the i-th component of σ (resp. ρ).

Let $f : \overline{W} \to W$ be the blow up of W along W_0. Let $\overline{\mathcal{I}} = f^*\mathcal{I} = (\overline{\sigma}_1, \cdots, \overline{\sigma}_n)$ (resp. $\overline{\mathcal{J}} = f^*\mathcal{J} = (\overline{\rho}_1, \cdots, \overline{\rho}_n)$), where $\overline{\sigma}_i = f^*\sigma_i$, (resp. $\overline{\rho}_i = f^*\rho_i$).

We show that $\overline{\mathcal{I}} = \overline{\mathcal{J}}$. On one hand, we have: $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{J}$, because $\rho = 0$ implies $\sigma = 0$. So we have: $\overline{\mathcal{I}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{J}}$. On the other hand, since $\rho = \sigma + \eta$ and $\|\eta\| < \epsilon\|\sigma\|$ in a neighbourhood of U_0, then $|\rho_i| < (1+\epsilon)\sum_{j=1}^n |\sigma_j|$ for $i = 1, \cdots, n$. Let g be a local generator of $\overline{\mathcal{I}}$ (which is invertible). Then $\frac{\rho_i}{g}$ is a function locally bounded for all i, so holomorphic because $\overline{W}$ is normal. As a result, we have $\overline{\mathcal{J}} \subset \overline{\mathcal{I}}$. So $\overline{\mathcal{J}} = \overline{\mathcal{I}}$.

By invariance of Segre class under birational map, $s(U_0, W) = f_*s(\overline{U}_0, \overline{W}) = s(W_0, W)$, where $\overline{U}_0 = \overline{W}_0$. Finally, since U_0 is closed in U, we have $s(U_0, W) = s(U_0, U)$. □

So

$$[W \cdot_\sigma W] = (c(p^*TZ|_{U_0}) \cap s(U_0, U))_{d(n-d)} \tag{3.8}$$

The projection p is flat, $p^* s(\widehat{Z}_0, Z) = s(U_0, U)$. So

$$[W \cdot_\sigma W] = \Big(c(p^*TZ|_{\widehat{Z}_0}) \cap s(\widehat{Z}_0, \widehat{Z})\Big)_0 \tag{3.9}$$

So:

$$\mathrm{Eu}(Z)(P) = \deg(c(TZ|_{\widehat{Z}_0}) \cap s(\widehat{Z}_0, \widehat{Z})).$$

This proves Theorem 3.1.

3.3. **Another formula.** We make the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{Z} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow b \\ \widehat{Z}_0 & \longrightarrow & \widehat{Z} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \nu \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & Z \end{array}$$

where $b : \widetilde{Z} \to \widehat{Z}$ is the blow-up of $\widehat{Z}$ along $\widehat{Z}_0$, and $D = b^{-1}(\widehat{Z}_0)$ is the exceptional divisor. Let ξ be the normal bundle of $D \subset \widetilde{Z}$.

Corollary 3.7.

$$\mathrm{Eu}(Z)(P) = \int_D c_{d-1}(TZ - \xi) \cap [D],$$

where $c(TZ - \xi) = \frac{c(TZ)}{c(\xi)}$.

Proof. This is from the definition of Segre class:

$$s(\widehat{Z}_0, \widehat{Z}) = b_*(c(\xi)^{-1} \cap [D]).$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(c(TZ) \cap s(\widehat{Z}_0, \widehat{Z})) &= \deg(c(TZ) \cap b_*(c(\xi)^{-1} \cap [D])) \\ &= \deg(c(TZ) \cup c(\xi)^{-1} \cap [D]) \\ &= \deg(c_{d-1}(TZ - \xi) \cap [D]). \end{aligned}$$

□

Example 3.8. Let $Z = \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{C}[x, y, u, v]/(yu - xv)$. Then Z is smooth except the origin P, which is called conifold singularity. The natural embedding $Z \subset \mathbb{C}^4$ gives the Nash blow-up

$$\nu : \widehat{Z} \to Z$$

which is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1}(-1, -1)$. The Nash cotangent bundle is $T^*Z = \mathbf{P}T^*\mathbb{C}^4/\mathcal{O}(1)$.

Note that the line bundle $\mathcal{O}(1)$, when restrict to the exceptional divisor $\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1$, is $\mathcal{O}(1, 1)$. Let $c_1(\mathcal{O}(1, 1)) = x + y$. Then from Corollary 3.7

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Eu}(Z)(P) &= \deg(c(TZ) \cap s(\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1, \widehat{Z})) \\ &= \deg(\frac{1}{1 + x + y} \cdot c(\mathcal{O}(-1, -1))^{-1} \cap [\mathbf{P}^1 \times \mathbf{P}^1]) \\ &= 2. \end{aligned}$$

4. Global index theorem and Lagrangian Intersection

4.1. **Chern-Mather class.** Fix a DM stack X throughout this section. Let $Z \subset X$ be a prime cycle. The Nash blow-up $\nu : \widehat{Z} \to Z$ and the Nash tangent bundle TZ are defined in Section 2.1.

Definition 4.1. *The Chern-Mather class $c^M(Z)$ is:*

$$c^M([Z]) = \nu_*(c(TZ) \cap [\widehat{Z}]),$$

where $c^M([Z]) \in A_*(X)$.

Let $c_0^M(Z)$ be the degree zero part $c_0^M : \mathcal{Z}_*(X) \to A_0(X)$. The local Euler obstruction $\mathrm{Eu}(Z)$ is a constructible function $X \to \mathbb{Z}$. The weighted Euler characteristic $\chi(X, \mathrm{Eu}(Z))$ is given by

$$\sum_i n_i \cdot \chi(\mathrm{Eu}(Z)^{-1}(i)).$$

In Theorem 1.12 of [2], Behrend proves

Theorem 4.2.

$$\int_X c^M(Z) = \chi(X, \mathrm{Eu}(Z)),$$

if X is a proper scheme or global finite group quotient stack, or a grebe over a scheme.

Proof. The scheme case is MacPherson's index theorem in [14]. Other cases were proved by Behrend [2] using properties of the local Euler obstruction. □

In ([5]), the result is proved for proper DM stacks:

Theorem 4.3.

$$\int_X c^M(Z) = \chi(X, \mathrm{Eu}(Z)),$$

if X is a proper DM stack.

Proof. In [5], the author defines ProChow group and classes for not necessarily proper DM stacks, generalizing the result in [1]. There is a natural transformation functor from the group of constructible functions on DM stacks to the ProChow groups. Every ProChow group class of a DM stack X gives a degree, which is the weighted Euler characteristic of the corresponding constructible function. In the case X is proper, the ProChow group of X coincides with the Chow group $A_*(X)$, and the Euler obstruction $\mathrm{Eu}(Z)$ of the prime cycle Z in X is a constructible function, hence the degree of the corresponding Chow group class (Chern-Mather class) gives its weighted Euler characteristic. The formula in terms of Lagrangian intersection for orbifolds was addressed by Maulik and Treumann in [15]. □

4.2. **Lagrangian Intersection.** The degree zero Chern-Mather class $c_0^M(Z)$ and the weighted Euler characteristic can be interpreted by Lagrangian intersection. We mainly follow Behrend's proof in Section 4.1 of [2], only the last part involving small perturbation of zero section of vector bundles is not included in [2].

Fix an embedding $X \to M$ of the DM stack X into a smooth DM stack M. We will explain the following diagram due to Behrend in Diagram (2) of [2].

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{Z}_*(X) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\mathrm{Eu}} & F(X) & \xrightarrow[\cong]{\mathrm{Ch}} & \mathfrak{L}_X(\Omega_M) \\ & \searrow^{c_0^M} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle c_0^{SM}} & \swarrow_{I(\cdot,[M])} & \\ & & A_0(X) & & \end{array} \tag{4.1}$$

where $\mathcal{Z}_*(X)$ is the group of integral cycles of X, $F(X)$ is the group of constructible functions on X, and $\mathcal{L}_X(\Omega_M)$ is the subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_n(\Omega_M)$ generated by the conic Lagrangian prime cycles supported on X. The maps c_0^M, c_0^{SM} and $I(\cdot,[M])$ are degree zero Chern-Mather class, degree zero Chern-Schwartz-Mather class and the Lagrangian intersection with zero section of Ω_M, respectively. Note that in [2], the notation of Lagrangian intersection with zero section is denoted by $0^!_{\Omega_M}(\cdot)$.

We briefly explain the horizontal morphisms in the diagram. The first map is the local Euler obstruction Eu and it gives an isomorphism from $\mathcal{Z}_*(X)$ to $F(X)$, which is given by (2.1).

Behrend (Section 4.1, [2]) defined the following isomorphism of groups:

$$L : \mathcal{Z}_*(X) \to \mathfrak{L}_X(\Omega_M) \tag{4.2}$$

which is given by

$$Z \mapsto (-1)^{\dim(Z)} N^*_{Z/M},$$

where $N^*_{Z/M}$ is the closure of the conormal bundle of smooth part of Z inside M. Conversely there is an isomorphism:

$$\pi : \mathfrak{L}_X(\Omega_M) \to \mathcal{Z}_*(X) \tag{4.3}$$

which is given by

$$V \mapsto (-1)^{\dim(\pi(V))} \pi(V),$$

where $\pi : V \to X$ is the projection. Then the morphism Ch is defined by the isomorphism Eu and the morphism L defined above.

Proof of Diagram 4.1: Let $Z \subset X$ be a prime cycle. We may take the Nash blow-up $\nu : \widehat{Z} \to Z$ by embedding $Z \subset M$ into the smooth DM stack M. Let

$$\mu : \widetilde{M} := \mathrm{Gr}_d(\Omega_M)$$

be the Grassmannian of rank d quotient of Ω_M. The Nash blow-up $\widehat{Z}$ is the closure inside $\widetilde{M}$ of the section $Z \hookrightarrow \widetilde{M}$ for the smooth locus of Z. Then there is an exact sequence of vector bundles:

$$0 \to N|_{\widehat{Z}} \longrightarrow \mu^*\Omega_M|_{\widehat{Z}} \longrightarrow T^*Z \to 0$$

where $N|_{\widehat{Z}}$ is the kernel of the surjective map of the right arrow. We have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{Z} & \longrightarrow & N|_{\widehat{Z}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ [M] & \longrightarrow & \mu^*\Omega_M|_{\widehat{Z}}. \end{array}$$

So from Fulton Chapter 6 of [8],

$$\begin{aligned} I(N|_{\widehat{Z}}, [M]) &= c(\Omega_M|_{\widehat{Z}}) \cdot s(\widehat{Z}, N|_{\widehat{Z}}) \\ &= c(\Omega_M|_{\widehat{Z}}) \cdot c(N|_{\widehat{Z}})^{-1} \cdot [\widehat{Z}] \\ &= c(T^*Z) \cdot [\widehat{Z}]. \end{aligned}$$

From Proposition 4.6 in [2], we have diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \widehat{Z} & \xrightarrow{\nu} & Z & \longrightarrow & M \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle 0} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle 0} & & \downarrow \\ N|_{\widehat{Z}} & \xrightarrow{\eta} & N^*_{Z/M} & \longrightarrow & \Omega_M \end{array} \tag{4.4}$$

where $\eta : N|_{\widehat{Z}} \to N^*_{Z/M}$ is a proper birational map of integral stacks, where $N^*_{Z/M}$ is the closure inside Ω_M of the conormal bundle of the smooth part of Z. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} c_0^M(Z) &= (-1)^{\dim(Z)} \nu_*(c(T^*Z \cap [\widehat{Z}])) \\ &= (-1)^{\dim(Z)} \nu_*(I(N|_{\widehat{Z}}, [M])) \\ &= (-1)^{\dim(Z)} I(\eta_* N|_{\widehat{Z}}, [M]) \\ &= (-1)^{\dim(Z)} I(N^*_{Z/M}, [M]). \end{aligned}$$

□

4.3. **Analytic version of Lagrangian intersection.** In practice, it is often useful to do analytic or real version of Lagrangian intersection as in Theorem 9.5.3 and Theorem 9.7.11 of [11].

We choose a Hermitian metric for the cotangent bundle Ω_M such that it is naturally isomorphic to the tangent bundle TM. Let

$$\psi : M \to \mathbb{R}$$

be a real C^2-function. Then the graph $\Gamma = d\psi$ is a real Lagrangian cycle in Ω_M. Suppose that

(1) $\{x \in M : \psi(x) \leq t\}$ is compact for every t;
(2) $\Gamma \cap N^*_{Z/M}$ is compact.

Then

Theorem 4.4. *The Lagrangian intersection:*

$$I(N^*_{Z/M}, \Gamma) = I(N^*_{Z/M}, [M]).$$

Proof. As a cycle, the cycle $N^*_{Z/M}$ is Lagrangian. So from Theorem 9.5.3 and 9.7.11 in [11],

$$I(\Gamma, N^*_{Z/M}) = I(N^*_{Z/M}, [M]).$$

□

5. Application to Donaldson-Thomas invariants

5.1. **Symmetric obstruction theory.** The notion of symmetric perfect obstruction theory was defined by Behrend in [2], and its main application is to Donaldson-Thomas theory. The Donaldson-Thomas type moduli space of stable simple complexes over a smooth Calabi-Yau threefold Y admits a symmetry obstruction theory.

Let X be a DM stack and E_X an object in the derived category $D^b(X)$ of coherent sheaves on X with amplitude contained in $[-1,0]$. From [3] and [12], E_X is a perfect obstruction theory on X if there exists a morphism

$$\varphi : E_X \to \mathbb{L}_X$$

in the derived category of coherent sheaves on X such that $h^0(\varphi)$ is an isomorphism and $h^{-1}(\varphi)$ is surjective. Here $\mathbb{L}_X$ is the truncated cotangent complex of X. From [3], the intrinsic normal cone $\mathbf{c}_X$ is a subcone stack inside the cone stack $h^1/h^0(\mathbb{L}_X^\vee)$.

We assume that there exists a global resolution $E = [E^{-1} \xrightarrow{\phi} E^0]$ of E_X, which means there is a quasi-isomorphism

$$E \to E_X$$

in the derived category $D^b(\mathrm{Coh}(X))$ of coherent sheaves on X and E^i are vector bundles for $i = -1, 0$. Let

$$E^\vee = [\phi^\vee : E_0 \to E_1]$$

be the dual of of E, where $E_0 = (E^0)^*$, and $E_1 = (E^{-1})^*$. The perfect obstruction theory gives a closed immersion of cone stacks $h^1/h^0(\mathbb{L}_X^\vee) \hookrightarrow h^1/h^0(E_X^\vee) = [E_1/E_0]$. The latter Artin stack $h^1/h^0(E_X)^\vee = [E_1/E_0]$ is called the bundle stack and the intrinsic normal cone $\mathbf{c}_X$ is a subcone stack in it.

The perfect obstruction theory E_X is **symmetric** is there is a bilinear form

$$\Theta : E_X \xrightarrow{\cong} E_X^\vee[1]$$

which is non-degenerate and symmetric. Hence this implies that $\mathrm{rk}\, E_X = \mathrm{rk}(E_X^\vee[1]) = -\mathrm{rk}\, E_X^\vee = -\mathrm{rk}\, E_X$, so $\mathrm{rk}\, E_X = 0$. The obstruction sheaf $\mathrm{ob} = h^1(E_X^\vee) = h^0(E_X^\vee[1]) = h^0(E_X) = \Omega_X$.

We fix an embedding $i : X \hookrightarrow M$ into a smooth DM stack M. Then

$$\Phi : \Omega_M|_X \to \mathrm{ob} = \Omega_X$$

is an epimorphism of coherent sheaves. Let cv be the coarse moduli space of the intrinsic normal cone $\mathbf{c}_X$. Then there is a Cartesian diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C & \longrightarrow & \Omega_M|_X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{cv} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{ob}\,. \end{array}$$

The cone C is called the obstruction cone of the symmetric perfect obstruction theory E_X.

Proposition 5.1. *(Theorem 4.9 in* [2]*) The cone $C \subset \Omega_M$ is Lagrangian.*

Definition 5.2. *The virtual fundamental class $[X]^{\mathrm{vir}}$ is given by*

$$[X]^{\mathrm{vir}} = 0^!_{\Omega_M}([C]) \in A_0(X).$$

The Donaldson-Thomas type invariant of X is defined by

$$\int_{[X]^{\mathrm{vir}}} 1$$

when X is proper.

5.2. **Weighted Euler characteristic.** The DM stack X has a canonical integral cycle $\mathfrak{c}_X \in \mathcal{Z}_*(X)$ in Section 1.1 of [2]. This cycle is defined as follows: on a local chart $U \to X$, which is étale, and an embedding $U \hookrightarrow M$, the cycle is

$$\mathfrak{c}_X|_U = \sum_i (-1)^{\dim(\pi(C_i))} \cdot \mathrm{mult}(C_i) \cdot \pi(C_i),$$

where the sum is over all irreducible components C_i of the normal cone $C_{U/M}$, and $\pi : C_{U/M} \to U$ is the projection. The set $\pi(C_i)$ is an irreducible closed subscheme or substack in U and $\mathrm{mult}(C_i)$ is the multiplicity of the component C_i at the generic point. Behrend proves that $\mathfrak{c}_X|_U$ is independent to the embedding and these local data glue to give the canonical integral cycle $\mathfrak{c}_X$.

Definition 5.3. *The Behrend function ν_X is defined by:*

$$\nu_X = \mathrm{Eu}(\mathfrak{c}_X),$$

which is the local Euler obstruction of the cycle $\mathfrak{c}_X$.

Look at Diagram (4.1), when applying the degree zero Chern-Mather class to Behrend function we get $c_0^M(\nu_X) \in A_0(X)$ and also $\mathrm{Ch}(\nu_X) = C$. From the above section, the virtual fundamental class $[X]^{\mathrm{vir}}$ is the Lagrangian intersection of the cone C with the zero section of Ω_M. Hence Behrend proves that

$$[X]^{\mathrm{vir}} = c_0^M(\nu_X). \tag{5.1}$$

So:

Theorem 5.4. *If X is proper and admits a symmetric obstruction theory E_X, then*

$$\chi(X, \nu_X) = \int_{[X]^{\mathrm{vir}}} 1.$$

This was proved in Theorem 4.18 of [2].

5.3. **$\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant obstruction theory.** In this section we assume that there is a $\mathbb{C}^*$ action on the scheme or DM stack X. We talk about $\mathbb{C}^*$ equivariant obstruction theory on X. The general G-equivariant obstruction theory and G-equivariant symmetric obstruction theory for an algebraic group G has been talked about by Behrend and Fantechi in [4].

Let $D^b(\mathrm{coh}(X))^{\mathbb{C}^*}$ be the derived category of $\mathbb{C}^*$ equivariant coherent sheaves over X. From Section 2.2 in [4],

Definition 5.5. *A $\mathbb{C}^*$-**equivariant** perfect obstruction theory on X is a morphism $E_X \to \mathbb{L}_X$ in the category $D^b(\mathrm{coh}(X))^{\mathbb{C}^*}$. As mentioned by Behrend, this is originally sue to Graber-Pandharipande.*

*A $\mathbb{C}^*$-**symmetric equivariant** obstruction theory is given by $(E_X \to \mathbb{L}_X, \Theta : E_X \to E_X^\vee[1])$ of morphisms in $D^b(\mathrm{coh}(X))^{\mathbb{C}^*}$, such that $E_X \to \mathbb{L}_X$ is an equivariant perfect obstruction theory, and $\Theta : E_X \to E_X^\vee[1]$ is an isomorphism satisfying $\Theta^\vee[1] = \Theta$.*

We include an example of Behrend here so that an equivariant symmetric obstruction theory locally always has the following form.

Example 5.6. In Section 3.4 of [2], Behrend proves that a symmetric obstruction theory on X locally is given by an almost closed one form. Let $\omega = \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i dx_i$ be an almost one form on $M \cong \mathbb{A}^n$ so that $X = Z(\omega)$ is the zero locus of ω. Then $H(\omega) = [T_M|_X \stackrel{\nabla\omega}{\to} \Omega_M|_X]$ gives the symmetric obstruction theory E_X.

Let $\mathbb{C}^*$ act on $\mathbb{A}^n$ by setting the degree of x_i to be $r_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Each f_i is homogeneous with respect to these degrees and denote the degree of f_i by $n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the zero locus X admits a $\mathbb{C}^*$ action. If we let $\mathbb{C}^*$ act on the tangent T_M by letting the degree of $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ to be n_i, then $H(\omega) \to \mathbb{L}_X$ defines a $\mathbb{C}^*$ perfect equivariant obstruction theory.

If $n_i = -r_i$, then the form ω is an invariant element of $\Gamma(M, \Omega_M)$. Then we have an "equivariant symmetric" obstruction theory.

The following result is due to Proposition 2.6 of Behrend-Fantechi [4].

Proposition 5.7. *Let X be an affine $\mathbb{C}^*$-scheme with a fixed point P and let $n = \dim T_X|_P$. If X is endowed with a symmetric equivariant obstruction theory $E_X \to \mathbb{L}_X$. Then there exists an invariant affine open neighbourhood U of P in X, an equivariant closed embedding $U \hookrightarrow M$ into a smooth $\mathbb{C}^*$-scheme M of dimension n and an invariant almost closed one form ω on M such that $X = Z(\omega)$.*

We actually don't need X to admit an equivariant "symmetric" obstruction theory, only a $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant obstruction theory $E_X \to \mathbb{L}_X$.

Theorem 5.8. *Let X be a scheme which admits a symmetric obstruction theory E_X. Furthermore assume that there is a $\mathbb{C}^*$ action on X with fixed points scheme $F \subset X$, such that $E_X \to \mathbb{L}_X$ is an equivariant obstruction theory. Then*

$$\chi(X, \nu_X) = \chi(F, \nu_X|_F),$$

where $\nu_X|_F$ is the restriction of ν_X to F. Moreover if X is proper, then $\int_{[X]^{\mathrm{vir}}} 1 = \chi(X, \nu_X) = \chi(F, \nu_X|_F)$.

Proof. From the property of Behrend function, ν_X is constant on the nontrivial $\mathbb{C}^*$ orbits, and the Euler characteristic of a $\mathbb{C}^*$-scheme without fixed points is zero. So the only contribution comes from the fixed point locus and $\chi(X, \nu_X) = \chi(F, \nu_X|_F)$. If X is proper, the last statement is Behrend's theorem Theorem 5.4. □

5.4. **Kiem-Li construction.** We denote by the $\mathbb{C}^*$ action on X by:

$$\mu : \mathbb{C}^* \times X \to X.$$

Let λ be the parameter of the group $\mathbb{C}^*$ and consider the following vector field

$$v : X \to T_X$$

given by $v = \frac{d}{d\lambda}(\mu(\lambda \cdot x))|_{\lambda=1}$. The zero locus of such vector field is $F \subset X$, the fixed point locus. The vector field defines a cosection

$$\sigma : \Omega_X \to \mathcal{O}_X$$

by taking dual in the sense of [7]. The degenerate locus $D(\sigma)$ is exactly the fixed point locus $F \subset X$.

The obstruction theory E_X defines a bundle stack $\mathbf{E} := h^1/h^0((E_X)^\vee)$ in the sense of Behrend-Fantechi in Section 2 of [3], such that $h^1(\mathbf{E}) = \Omega_X$. Let $U := X\backslash F$. Then U is an open subset of X. The cosection σ gives a surjective morphism

$$\Omega_X|_U = h^1((E_X)^\vee)|_U \to \mathcal{O}_U. \tag{5.2}$$

This surjective morphism (5.2) induces a morphism from the bundle stack $\mathbf{E}|_U$ to $\mathbb{C}_U$. Let

$$\mathbf{E}(\sigma) := \mathbf{E}|_F \cup \ker(\mathbf{E}|_U \to \mathbb{C}_U).$$

Let $\mathbf{c}_X$ be the intrinsic normal cone of X. As proved in [7], the intrinsic normal cone $\mathbf{c}_X \subset \mathbf{E}(\sigma)$. Applying the localized Gysin map in Section 3 of [7], we get the zero dimensional localized virtual cycle

$$s^!_{\mathbf{E},\sigma}([\mathbf{c}_X]) = [F]^{\mathrm{vir}}_{\mathrm{loc,KL}} \in A_0(F).$$

Let $\iota : F \to X$ be the inclusion. This cycle satisfies $\iota_*([F]^{\mathrm{vir}}_{\mathrm{loc,KL}}) = [X]^{\mathrm{vir}}$ if X is projective.

Definition 5.9. *The scheme or DM stack F is proper, and the virtual localized invariant of X by*

$$\int_{[F]^{\mathrm{vir}}_{\mathrm{loc,KL}}} 1$$

5.5. **Cone decomposition.** Recall that we fix an embedding $i : X \hookrightarrow M$ of X to a smooth scheme M. Hence there is a surjective morphism: $\phi : \Omega_M|_X \to \Omega_X$. From Section 5.1, the obstruction cone $C \subset \Omega_M|_X$ is a conic Lagrangian cycle in Ω_M, which is proved in Theorem 4.9 of [2].

Definition 5.10. *Define*

$$C_2 := \overline{C|_{X\backslash F}}.$$

and

$$[C_1] := [C] - [C_2]$$

as cycles.

Remark 5.11. The cone C_1 is supported on the fixed point locus F of X.

5.6. **Kiem-Li localized invariant via bundle stack.** First we prove the following:

Proposition 5.12. *The surjective morphism $\phi : \Omega_M|_X \to \Omega_X$ induces a surjective morphism*

$$\Phi : \Omega_M|_X \to \mathbf{E}$$

to the bundle stack $\mathbf{E}$.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak{e} : \mathfrak{X} \to X$ be an étale open covering. The the pullback

$$\mathfrak{e}^* E_X \cong [F_{-1} \to F_0]$$

has a locally free resolution, and F_{-1}, F_0 are locally free coherent sheaves. Then $\mathfrak{e}^* E_X^\vee \cong [F^0 \to F^1]$, with $F^0 = F_0^\vee, F^1 = F_{-1}^\vee$ and $\mathfrak{e}^*\mathbf{E} = [F^1/F^0]$.

Hence we have the following exact sequence

$$F^0 \to F^1 \to \mathfrak{e}^* \mathrm{ob} \to 0.$$

Suppose that the ranks of F^0 and F^1 are r_0 and r_1. Let g be the number of generators of the sheaf ob on one local chart around a point P.

Locally we can take $r_1 = g$ without loss of generality. (This is because the rank of the first arrow is $r_1 - g$ at P, so we may choose a rank $r_1 - g$ subbundle S on which the map is of full rank (possibly after shrinking our open set). Then dividing out by the acyclic complex $S \to S$ we get the claim.)

Now our vector bundle $\Omega_M|_X$ surjects onto ob. Since it is free, we can lift to a map $\Omega_M|_X \to F^1$. Then the composition $\Omega_M|_X \to F^1 \to \text{ob}$ is onto, so in particular the first map must have rank $\geq g$, so it must be onto, so $\Omega_M|_X \to F^1$ is onto in the local chart and $\mathfrak{e}^*\Omega_M|_X$ maps onto the stack $\mathfrak{e}^*\mathbf{E} = [F^1/F^0]$. The construction is canonical so it induces a surjective morphism $\Phi : \Omega_M|_X \to \mathbf{E}$. □

We construct the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega_M|_X & \xrightarrow{\Phi} & \mathbf{E} \\ & \searrow & \downarrow \quad \searrow^{\sigma} \\ & & \Omega_X \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathcal{O}_X \end{array} \tag{5.3}$$

where σ is the cosection map. To simplify notation, we denote by $V := \Omega_M|_X$. Let

$$V(\sigma) := V|_F \oplus \ker(V|_{U=X\setminus F} \to \mathcal{O}_X|_U).$$

The Lagrangian cone C lies in $V(\sigma)$. Kiem-Li's localized virtual cycle $[F]^{\text{vir}}_{\text{KL}}$ is given by the localized Gysin map

$$s^!_{V(\sigma),\sigma}([C]) = [F]^{\text{vir}}_{\text{loc,KL}} \tag{5.4}$$

constructed in Section 3 of [7]. The following result is easily from the property of localized Gysin map.

Proposition 5.13.

$$s^!_{V(\sigma),\sigma}([C]) = s^!_{V(\sigma),\sigma}([C_1]) + s^!_{V(\sigma),\sigma}([C_2]).$$

5.7. **Kiem-Li localized invariant via Lagrangian intersection.**

5.7.1. *Type one cone:* The cone C_1 inside $\Omega_M|_X$ supports on the fixed point loci $F \subset X$. So C_1 lies inside $V|_F$. The scheme F is closed and proper. Hence from Kiem-Li [7], the localized Gysin map is just the usual Gysin map and

$$s^!_{V(\sigma),\sigma}([C_1]) = s^!_{V|_F}([C_1]). \tag{5.5}$$

5.7.2. *Type two cone:* Each irreducible component of the cone C_2 supports on a $\mathbb{C}^*$-invariant subscheme of X. Using idea in the Appendix in [7], we prove that the localized Gysin map $s^!_{V(\sigma),\sigma}([C_2])$ is still a Lagrangian intersection of two Lagrangian cycles inside $\Omega_M|_X$.

We use a similar idea as in Section 4 of [6]. Choose a Hermitian metric on the vector bundle Ω_M, and choose a smooth function

$$\psi : M \to \mathbb{R}$$

such that $\{x \in M : \psi(x) \leq t\}$ is compact for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We choose a smooth function $\rho : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that

$$\rho(r) = \begin{cases} 0, & r \leq 1; \\ \epsilon r, & r \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

Let $\Gamma := \Gamma(d\rho\psi)$ be the graph of the differential of the function $\rho\psi$. Then Γ is a small perturbation of the zero section of Ω_M. The graph Γ is a real Lagrangian

cycle inside Ω_M. From Theorem 9.5.3 and Theorem 9.7.11 of [11], the Lagrangian intersection $I(C_2, \Gamma)$ makes sense.

Theorem 5.14. *The Lagrangian intersection $C_2 \cap \Gamma$ supports on the fixed point loci $F \subset X$ and the intersection number $I(C_2, \Gamma)$ is the same as Kiem-Li localized invariant $s^!_{V(\sigma),\sigma}([C_2])$.*

Proof. The intersection of C_2 and Γ supports on $F \subset X$ comes from the fact that the graph $\Gamma(d\rho\psi)$ does not lie in the kernel $\Omega_M|_X \to \mathcal{O}_X$ of the cosection on the locus $X \setminus F$, which shares similar reason as in Lemma 4.4 in [6], but the cone C_2 lies in the kernel $\Omega_M|_X \to \mathcal{O}_X$. Hence $C_2 \cap \Gamma$ must support on the locus $F \subset X$.

The intersection number $I(C_2, \Gamma) = s^!_{V(\sigma),\sigma}([C_2])$ is a global result of Proposition A.1 of the Appendix in [7]. □

5.8. **Behrend VS Kiem-Li.** Recall that in Section 5.2 there is a canonical integral cycle $\mathfrak{c}_X$ for the scheme or DM stack X. The cycle $\mathfrak{c}_X$ has a decomposition:

$$\mathfrak{c}_X = \mathfrak{c}_1 + \mathfrak{c}_2,$$

where $\mathfrak{c}_2 = \overline{\mathfrak{c}_X|_{X\setminus F}}$ and $\mathfrak{c}_1 = \mathfrak{c}_X \setminus \mathfrak{c}_2$. By the uniqueness of the integral cycle $\mathfrak{c}_X$, the integral cycles $\mathfrak{c}_1$ and $\mathfrak{c}_2$ are unique.

Recall that the obstruction cone $C \subset \Omega_M|_X$ is a conic Lagrangian cycle and we have the cone decomposition $C = C_1 + C_2$ in Definition 5.10. Let $\mathfrak{L}_X(\Omega_M)$ be the subgroup of $Z_n(\Omega_M)$ generated by conic Lagrangian prime cycles which support on X, where $n = \dim(M)$.

Proposition 5.15. *We have:*

$$L(\mathfrak{c}_1) = C_1, \quad L(\mathfrak{c}_2) = C_2.$$

Proof. The subgroup $\mathfrak{L}_X(\Omega_M)$is generated by conic Lagrangian prime cycles, and from [9], also [2], every irreducible conic Lagrangian cycle in $\Omega_M|_X$ is of the form $N^*_{Z/M}$. Our cones C_1, C_2 are conic Lagrangian in $\Omega_M|_X$. So each irreducible component of C_1 (resp. C_2) is of the form $N^*_{Z/M}$, where in the case of C_2, $N^*_{Z/M}$ is supported on $Z \subset \overline{X \setminus F}$, and in the case of C_1, $N^*_{Z/M}$ is supported on $Z \subset M$. Thus the results follows from the isomorphisms in (4.2) and (4.3). □

Definition 5.16. *Define*

$$\nu_1 = \mathrm{Eu}(\mathfrak{c}_1), \quad \nu_2 = \mathrm{Eu}(\mathfrak{c}_2).$$

Remark 5.17. From the property of Euler obstruction, $\mathrm{Eu}(\mathfrak{c}_N) = \mathrm{Eu}(\mathfrak{c}_1) + \mathrm{Eu}(\mathfrak{c}_2)$. Hence the Behrend function $\nu_X = \nu_1 + \nu_2$.

Theorem 5.18. *We have:*

$$\chi(F, \nu_1|_F) = s^!_{V(\sigma),\sigma}([C_1]).$$

Proof. The Lagrangian cycle $[C_1]$ only supports on F. From (5.5), $s^!_{V(\sigma),\sigma}([C_1]) = s^!_{V|_F}([C_1])$, the usual Lagrangian intersection of C_1 with the zero section of $V|_F$. In the case that M is a scheme, or a finite group quotient stack, or a finite gerbe over a scheme, this is Behrend's Proposition 4.6 in [2]. In the DM stack case, it is due to Maulik and Treumann in [15], where the main result is the generalization of Kashiwara index theorem to orbifolds. □

Recall our Lagrangian cycle $\Gamma \subset \Omega_M$, which is a small perturbation of the zero section.

Theorem 5.19. *We have:*

$$\chi(F, \nu_2|_M) = I(C_2, \Gamma).$$

Proof. In the case that M is a scheme, this is the global index theorem due to Theorem 9.7.11 of Kashiwara-Schapira [11] since the characteristic cycle of ν_2 is C_2. In the DM stack case, it is still due to Maulik and Treumann in [15]. □

So we finally have:

Theorem 5.20. *We have:*

$$\chi(F, \nu_X|_F) = \int_{[F]^{\mathrm{vir}}_{\mathrm{loc,KL}}} 1.$$

Proof. Combining Theorem 5.14, Theorem 5.18 and Theorem 5.19, the result follows. □

Remark 5.21. Actually to prove this main result we don't need to split cones as in the proof in Section 4 in [6]. To make things clearer, we do it here.

5.9. **$\mathbb{C}^*$-action with Isolated fixed points.** In this section we assume that the $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on the scheme X has isolated fixed point set. In Theorem 3.4 of [3], Behrend and Fantechi proved following result:

Proposition 5.22. *Let X be a scheme with a $\mathbb{C}^*$ action so that $(E_X \to \mathbb{L}_X, \Theta)$ is a $\mathbb{C}^*$-equivariant symmetric obstruction theory. Suppose that the $\mathbb{C}^*$ action only has isolated fixed point set F. Let $P \in F$. Then*

$$\nu_X(P) = (-1)^{\dim(T_X|_P)},$$

where $T_X|_P$ is the tangent space of X at P.

Proof. This result can be proved using Theorem 5.20. The symmetric obstruction theory $E_X = [E^{-1} \to E^0]$ is given by a global resolution. We may use $\mathbb{C}^*$ equivariant embedding

$$P \hookrightarrow X \subset M,$$

where we assume that the dimension of M is the same as $\dim(T_X|_P)$. Using deformation to normal cone $C_{X/M}$ and deformation invariance of virtual class, we may use the zero section $[M]$ to do the Kiem-Li localization. So in this case we have a vector bundle Ω_M and a cosection map $\sigma : \Omega_M \to \mathcal{O}_M$ such that $\sigma^{-1}(0) = P$. Then the result comes from Example 2.4 in [7]. □

References

[1] Paolo Aluffi, *Limits of Chow groups, and a new construction of Chern-Schwartz-MacPherson classes*, Pure Appl. Math. Q. **2** (2006), no. 4, 915-941.

[2] Kai Behrend, *Donaldson-Thomas type invariant via microlocal geometry*, Ann. Math. **170** (2009), no. 3, 1307-1338.

[3] Kai Behrend and Fantechi Babara, *The intrinsic normal cone*, Invent. Math. (1997), 1337–1398.

[4] ———, *Symmetric obstruction theories and Hilbert scheme of point on threefolds*, Algebra Number Theory **2** (2008), no. 3, 313-345.

[5] Yunfeng Jiang, *Chern-Schwartz-Mather class for DM stacks*, preprint, to appear in arXiv 2014.

[6] Yunfeng Jiang and Richard P Thomas, *Virtual signed Euler characteristics*, preprint, arXiv:1408.2541.
[7] Young-Hoon Kiem and Jun Li, *Localizing virtual cycles by cosection*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **26** (2013), no. 4, 1025-1050.
[8] Williami Fulton, *Intersection theory*, 2nd ed., Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge (1998), A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 2, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998.
[9] Victor Ginzburg, *Characteristic varieties and vanishing cycles*, Invent. Math. **84** (1986), 327-402.
[10] Gary Kennedy, *Macpherson's chern classes of singular algebraic varieties*, Communications in Algebra **18:9** (1990), 2821-2839.
[11] Masaki Kashiwara and Pierre Schapira, *Sheaves on manifolds*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften. **292** (Verlag, Berlin, 1990 Springer).
[12] Jun Li and Gang Tian, *The virtual fundamental class for algebraic varieties*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. (1998).
[13] Weiping Li and Zhenbo Qin, *Donaldson-Thomas invariants of certain Calabi-Yau 3-folds*, Commu. in Anal. and Geom. **21** (2013), 541-578.
[14] Robert MacPherson, *Chern class for singular algebraic varieties*, Ann. Math. **100** (1974), no. 2, 423-432.
[15] Devash Maulik and David Treumann, *Constructible functions and Lagrangian cycles on orbifolds*, arXiv:1110.3866.
[16] G. Gonzalez-Sprinberg, *L'obstruction locale d'Euler et le theoreme de MacPherson*, Asterisque **82-83** (1981), 7-32.
[17] Richard P Thomas, *A holomorphic Casson invariant for Calabi-Yau 3-folds, and bundles on K3 fibrations*, J. Differential Geom. **54** (2000), 367-438.

Department of Mathematics, University of Kansas, 405 Snow Hall, 1460 Jayhawk Blvd, Lawrence 66045, USA

E-mail address: y.jiang@ku.edu